

Trustees v. Risselle

FORM

[ADD: CASE HEADING]

08-C-788

FILED

MAY 14, 2008

May 14 2008

Judge David H. Coar

United States District Court

Pursuant to this court's order,

ARNOLD AND KAAJAN

representing plaintiff(s), and

TIMOTHY J. KLEIN

representing the defendant(s), met on

APRIL 30, 2008

pursuant to Rule 26(f) to discuss:

- (1) the nature and basis of their claims and defenses;
- (2) the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of this case;
- (3) to make or arrange for the disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(1); and
- (4) to develop a discovery plan.

To that end, the parties propose the following:

A. The issues in this case may be simplified by taking the following steps:

1. COMPLETING DISCOVERY BY AUG. 31, 2008.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

B. The following modifications to the discovery requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rules should be made in order to expedite discovery:

1. NONE.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

C. Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:

- 0 0 U I T
1. WHETHER TITONE WAS A ~~W~~ITHDRAWAL FROM
THE FUND.
 2. THE AMOUNT OF THE WITHDRAWAL LIABILITY.
 3. WHETHER TITONE WAS A DEFAULT.
 4. WHETHER ANY DEFENSES EXIST.

D. Discovery ~~should~~ should not be conducted in phases.

E. Discovery is likely to be contentious and management of discovery should be referred to the Magistrate Judge. Yes _____ No X

F. The parties ~~consent~~/do not consent to this matter being referred to the Magistrate Judge for final disposition.

G. The parties have discussed the possibility of alternative dispute resolution and concluded:

IT IS PREMATURE.

H. The parties have discussed a prompt settlement or other resolution of this matter. The plaintiff has made a written demand of \$ 81,614.00, and the defendant has offered \$ 0.

I. The Court should consider the following methods of expediting the resolution of this matter:

None

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant